



**QUEEN'S
UNIVERSITY
BELFAST**

Effect of grinding on early age performance of high volume fly ash ternary blended pastes with CKD & OPC

Bondar, D., & Coakley, E. (2017). Effect of grinding on early age performance of high volume fly ash ternary blended pastes with CKD & OPC. *Construction and Building Materials*, 136, 153-163.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2017.01.044>

Published in:
Construction and Building Materials

Document Version:
Peer reviewed version

Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal:
[Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal](#)

Publisher rights

© 2017 Elsevier Ltd This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/> which permits distribution and reproduction for non-commercial purposes, provided the author and source are cited.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Queen's University Belfast Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The Research Portal is Queen's institutional repository that provides access to Queen's research output. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact openaccess@qub.ac.uk.

1 Effect of grinding on early age performance of High Volume Fly Ash ternary blended pastes with CKD & OPC

2 Dali Bondar¹, Eoin Coakley²

3 ¹Research Fellow in School of Natural and Build Environment, Queens University of Belfast, BT9 5AG, UK,

4 Phone: +44 2890974032, E-mail address: D.Bondar@qub.ac.uk

5 ²Senior Lecturer in School of Energy, Construction and Environment, Coventry University, CV1 5FB, UK

6 Phone: +44 2477658967, E-mail address: eoin.coakley@coventry.ac.uk

7

8 Abstract

9 This study investigated setting times and early age compressive strength of the high volume fly ash (HVFA) blended
10 pastes prepared with ground materials. The pastes consisted of 60% Fly Ash + 30% Portland cement (CEM I) + 10%
11 cement kiln dust (CKD) and tests were carried out for four different fly ashes. In phase 1, all the constituent binder
12 materials (class F-fly ash, CEMI and CKD) were initially mixed in the relevant proportions and were ground for
13 varying time periods (1, 2 and 4 hours). In phase 2, the CEM I and CKD were mixed and ground for different time
14 periods (1 and 2 hours) and then added to the unground fly ash. Both wrapped and submerged curing were used
15 for compressive strength test samples. Overall, grinding of constituents appeared to be largely ineffective at
16 increasing 2 day compressive strength although strength enhancements at 28 days were generally observed. Paste
17 samples that were made from interground constituents generally achieved higher 28 day strengths than
18 corresponding pastes where only the activators were ground, although this was not consistent throughout so
19 further investigation is suggested in this area. Submerged curing is generally less effective in increasing compressive
20 strength than wrapped curing as leaching of CKD is suspected to have occurred.

21

22 Keywords: CKD, intergrinding, separate grinding, particle size distribution (PSD), HVFA ternary pastes

23

24 Introduction

25 Use of high volume fly ash (HVFA) concrete has numerous performance benefits as well as the obvious economic
26 and environmental benefits. Incorporating high volumes of fly ash within a mix improves mix cohesion, reduces
27 heat of hydration, reduces permeability and increases resistance to alkali silica reaction. However, the pozzolanic
28 reaction occurs relatively slowly and therefore increases setting times and reduces the initial rate of strength gain.
29 Increased setting times means that more time has to be allowed before removal of formwork and propping, which
30 would lead to delays and increased formwork costs. The overall aim of this project is to reduce setting times and
31 increase the early age strength of concrete containing high volumes of fly ash. Previous work [1] investigated use
32 of cement kiln dust (CKD) as an activator for fly ash and examined proportioning of binder constituents. The
33 optimum binder proportioning established is investigated within the current study with mechanical grinding of
34 binder constituents to instigate further early age strength enhancements.

35

36

37

38 **Review of previous work**

39 Effect of particle size

40 Erdogdu and Turker [2] tried to interpret the strength of Portland cement – fly ash mortars in terms of the chemical,
41 mineralogical, morphological, and physical properties of different fly ash size fractions. They found that finer
42 fraction groups resulted in higher compressive strength and that using $< 45\mu\text{m}$ ashes gave higher strength than the
43 original ashes containing all size fractions, at all ages tested. For the low lime fly ash tested, the difference in
44 chemical composition between the various size fractions was negligible so the strength enhancement was primarily
45 attributed to particle size (although the same could not be confirmed for the high lime fly ash tested). They
46 calculated equivalent strength from a weighted average (based on the particle size distribution of the original ashes)
47 of the strengths from the various size fractions and found that calculated strengths were lower than measured
48 strengths for both of the original ashes at all ages. This is attributed to the uniform grading distribution in the
49 various size fractions leading to increased porosity in the mortars. The importance of grading of ash particle size
50 for compressive strength gain was highlighted.

51 Chindaprasirt et al [3] investigated fineness of fly ash through sieving and separation using an air classifier. Sieving
52 produced two graded fly ash portions, finer than $75\mu\text{m}$ and finer than $45\mu\text{m}$. Separation produced “single size”
53 portions with 65% of the original ash in the “coarse” portion, the next finest 25% in the “medium” portion and the
54 finest 10% in the “fine” portion. Mortars produced with 40% of fly ash samples generally required less water for a
55 given flow than for an equivalent Portland cement mortar. The water demand of mortar made using $< 45\mu\text{m}$ ash
56 was greater than for $< 75\mu\text{m}$ ash due to the increase in the surface area of the finer particles. 3 day compressive
57 strength of mortars made with $< 75\mu\text{m}$ ash and $< 45\mu\text{m}$ ash increased relative to the original ash by 35% and 74%
58 respectively. Mortars made with separated ash portions with varied water content based on the consistencies
59 observed 3 day strengths 26% lower for coarse, 43% higher for medium and 117% higher for fine portions relative
60 to the mortar with the original ash. Blaine fineness was measured for all ash portions and the fineness of the graded
61 $< 45\mu\text{m}$ ash was similar to the medium separated portion. However, the compressive strength of the graded < 45
62 μm ash was appreciably higher as it included fine particles (unlike the medium separated portion), which again
63 emphasizes the importance of ash particle size grading.

64 In a separate investigation, Chindaprasirt et al [4] examined compressive strength and pore structure of two Class
65 F fly ash portions of median size, $19.1\mu\text{m}$ and $6.4\mu\text{m}$. A Mercury intrusion porosimeter was used to measure
66 porosity and average pore diameter within the pastes. The total porosity was consistently lower for the finer ash
67 at all ages and the average pore diameter was smaller as a result of better dispersing and packing of the finer
68 particles. These observations were in agreement with observed higher compressive strengths of the finer ash.

69 Kiattikomol et al [5] investigated the effect of ash fineness through both separation using an air classifier and
70 grinding. They established that there was no significant difference in compressive strength of mortars made with
71 classified or ground fly ashes of similar median particle size. They found that the strength activity index of mortars
72 for a given test age increased with increasing ash fineness. For example, mortars made with ash of median size of
73 $30\mu\text{m}$ achieved an activity index of 80% after 14 days but mortars from ash with a median size of $15\mu\text{m}$ achieved
74 the same strength after only 3 days. Between 7 and 14 days, $\approx 3\%$ increase of strength activity index was observed

75 when using fly ash with $d_{50} = 30 \mu\text{m}$, while $< 10\%$ increase of strength was observed during the same period for an
76 ash of $d_{50} = 2 \mu\text{m}$. They also found that when the fineness of each fly ash was increased, mortar setting times
77 reduced.

78 Aydin et al [6] studied strength of mortars with cement replacement levels up to 60% with unground and ground
79 fly ash. They reported a 3 day strength of 18.1 MPa when the ash was ground to $907 \text{ m}^2/\text{kg}$, which was substantially
80 higher than the 6.0 MPa strength recorded for the unground ash ($290 \text{ m}^2/\text{kg}$). They also examined the effect of
81 various curing regimes and found that higher replacement levels were more sensitive to choice of curing method.
82 Air curing was found to cause a 43% reduction in 28 day compressive strength (relative to standard water curing)
83 for the mortar including 60% of the ground ash, which highlights the importance of appropriate curing.

84

85 Effect of grinding time

86 Grinding of cementitious materials is very expensive and energy intensive so investigation of various grinding times
87 is merited with a view to establishing a minimum grinding time beyond which, no significant improvement in paste
88 performance will be observed. Paya et al [7] investigated grinding times for fly ash and reported a 62% reduction
89 in median diameter after 20 minutes but just a further 15% reduction up to 60 minutes. They reported that fly ash
90 particles with diameter greater than $30 \mu\text{m}$ were easily crushed using a laboratory mill and the percentage of
91 particles $> 30 \mu\text{m}$ after 30 minutes of grinding was negligible. The specific gravity of the ground particles increased,
92 primarily due to the crushing of cenospheres and porous carbon particles. They found that the grinding process
93 caused fly ash particles to become less spherical, thereby lessening their potential for reducing water demand of a
94 concrete mix.

95 Felekoglu et al [8] studied the effect of grinding on strength activity and on water demand of ground high-calcium
96 fly ash. They observed a 6% reduction in 2 day compressive strength due to grinding for 43 minutes but this was
97 attributed to the 6% increase in water content to achieve a constant mortar workability. They noted that the change
98 in water demand due to grinding is heavily dependent on initial ash particle shape, surface morphology and
99 porosity. They suggested an optimum fineness of $480 \text{ m}^2/\text{kg}$ for the high calcium ash that they tested where the
100 reactivity of the ash was increased but without significantly increasing the specific area and therefore the water
101 demand.

102 Paya et al [9] tested compressive strength of mortars containing 60% fly ash and 40% cement within the binder at
103 a curing temperature of 20°C for a range of grinding periods for the ash. They found an increase in 3 day
104 compressive strength of 8.8% for 10 minutes of grinding and 27.5% for 60 minutes of grinding (relative to the 3 day
105 strength of the mortar containing unground ash). Corresponding strength developments at 28 days were 16.8% and
106 48.1% for 10 minutes and 60 minutes of grinding respectively.

107 Bouzoubaa et al [10] investigated the effect of grinding fly ashes over a 10 hour period. They observed that the
108 main increase in specific gravity occurred up to two hours due to the crushing of plerospheres and cenospheres.
109 Blaine fineness continually increased over time with the main increase occurring within the first two hours (126%,
110 53%, and 67% increases in fineness after two hours for the three ashes tested and 272%, 146% and 116% after ten
111 hours). Water demand initially decreases due to crushing of plerospheres but increases after four hours due to an

112 increase in irregular shaped particles. Peak strength activity index was recorded after four hours of grinding and
113 this corresponds with the observed trough in water demand.

114 Wang et al [11] investigated various activation methods including intergrinding on blends of 50% Class F fly ash and
115 50% CKD. For the unground blend, 14.9% was retained on a 45 μm sieve but this reduced to 0.11%, 0.04%, and 0%
116 after two hours, four hours and six hours of grinding respectively. Although particle size reduction essentially ceased
117 beyond two hours of grinding, further grinding was thought to increase the amorphous phase content of the
118 material. 3 day strength of pastes increased by 28% and 164% relative to the unground blend after six hours and
119 twelve hours of grinding respectively. The marked increase in strength up to twelve hours of grinding is largely
120 attributed to mechanochemical activation whereby particle surface modification increases surface free energy,
121 making the particles more reactive.

122 Bouzoubaa et al. [12] carried out an initial series of tests on fly ash – cement binders and used Blaine fineness as a
123 control measure for grinding duration used. However, when comparing the particle size distribution curves of
124 blended cements with laboratory produced Portland cement for a given Blaine fineness, it was found that in the
125 low particle size range ($< 5 \mu\text{m}$) the blended cements were coarser, whereas for the high particle size range the
126 reverse is true. They found that although the grinding time for the blended cements to achieve a particular Blaine
127 fineness was shorter, their compressive strength was lower and they attributed this to coarser clinker particles.

128

129 Intergrinding or separate grinding of constituents

130 Bouzoubaa et al [12] carried out a second series of tests on fly ash – cement binders where the effect of both
131 grinding fly ash and cement separately and intergrinding for a period of four hours was investigated. They reported
132 that adding ground fly ash to cement gave consistently higher compressive strengths of mortars than for unground
133 fly ash with 1 day strength increases of 27% - 46%. Observed increases in Blaine fineness of the ash due to grinding
134 correlated well with observed strength increases with greater strength increases observed for originally coarser
135 ashes. Compressive strength of mortars when fly ash and cement were interground were noticeably higher (than
136 for equivalent mixes where the ash and cement were ground separately) for two of the three ashes tested with
137 minimal change in strength for the third ash. The general increase in strength due to intergrinding is largely
138 attributed to the improved homogeneity of the binder although it is acknowledged that further investigation in this
139 area would be beneficial.

140 Ghiasvand et al [13] suggested that intergrinding binder constituents can influence the relative content of each
141 constituent in different size fractions. For example, a component that is hard to grind becomes concentrated in
142 coarse fractions and vice versa. They investigated inter-grinding and separate grinding on the properties of mortars
143 and concretes made from a Portland cement – Trass blend and observed that intergrinding produced finer particle
144 size distributions in all cases. Cement paste consistency and setting times were found to be unaffected by grinding
145 approach (intergrinding or separate grinding). However, compressive strengths were consistently higher for
146 interground samples (e.g. for 35% cement replacement, 7 day strengths were 7.5% - 9.5% higher for interground
147 constituents).

148 Erdogdu et al [14] investigated intergrinding and separate grinding of 75% cement with 25% natural pozzolan and
149 found that separate grinding consistently produced an equal or larger amount of material above a given sieve size.
150 This effect was more pronounced for greater mill energy consumption (i.e. longer grinding periods). 2 day strengths
151 of separately ground pastes were 94% of interground pastes of equivalent Blaine fineness but the difference in
152 strength decreases with age. This was attributed to the overall coarser particle size distribution and lower
153 homogeneity of the separately ground blend.

154 Ryou [15] blended 65% cement kiln dust (CKD) with 35% fly ash and examined the effect of grinding separately or
155 intergrinding over various periods of time. When comparing blends ground separately or together for the same
156 time period (of 4 hours), he observed that the mean particle size was smaller and a greater proportion was passing
157 a 0.45 μm sieve when the constituents were ground separately. Initial paste setting times were also 27% shorter
158 for the ground separately blend.

159 Bentz et al [16] suggested that one limitation of the cement manufacture industry is that Portland cement is
160 optimized for use as a pure cement as opposed to within a blended cement. Their approach was to optimize the
161 particle sizes of cement and fly ash to maximize strength of the blended product and found that using a finer cement
162 (with a similar ash size) generally gave a higher early age strength but the magnitude of the increase reduced as the
163 replacement level increased. They found that blending a finer cement with a relatively coarse ash boosted early
164 ash strength without significantly affecting the overall particle size distribution and therefore water demand for a
165 particular workability. Therefore, although the majority of literature available suggests that intergrinding the
166 constituent materials enhances early age strength, Bentz's study highlights the beneficial effects of grinding the
167 cement only.

168

169 Significance of the current study

170 From the review of previous work in this area, most studies of cement and fly ash binders focus on fly ash levels
171 only up to 50%. Only one study, Wang et al. 2007, has investigated intergrinding of CKD and fly ash (without cement
172 clinker). In this study, no setting time measurements were recorded so any effect that grinding has on setting time
173 cannot be evaluated. They also did not examine the effect of separate grinding of the activator on compressive
174 strength or grinding efficiency in relation to median particle size or compressive strength. The significance of this
175 work is to study if grinding can be effective in reducing setting times and increasing early age strength of pastes
176 containing high volumes of fly ash with CEM I and CKD and to evaluate parameters such as intergrinding vs. activator
177 only grinding, grinding duration and curing condition.

178

179 **Experimental work**

180 In this study, four different class F fly ash samples, Portland cement (CEM I) and cement kiln dust (CKD) were ground
181 for varying time periods. The powder proportions were taken from the optimum proportions (60% fly ash, 30% CEM
182 I and 10% CKD) established in previous work [1]. The chemical composition of the raw materials was determined
183 using X-ray fluorescence (XRF) carried out using a PAN analytical Axios Advanced XRF spectrometer and the resulting
184 oxide proportions are given in Table 1. The density, Blaine fineness and percentage retained on a 45 μm sieve for

185 the raw materials were determined in accordance with BS EN 196-6 and these physical properties are presented in
186 Table 2. Particle size distribution of the raw materials (Figure 1) was carried out using a Malvern Mastersizer 2000
187 with a Hydro MU sampler and the median particle size established is also included in Table 2.

188 In phase 1 of the experimental work, all the constituent binder materials (class F-fly ash, CEMI and CKD) were
189 initially mixed in the relevant proportions and were ground for varying time periods (1, 2 and 4 hours), based on
190 the observations from references [12 – 14]. In phase 2, the CEM I and CKD were mixed and ground for varying time
191 periods (1 and 2 hours) and then added to the unground fly ash, in line with observations from Bentz [16] where
192 only the activator material was ground. Grinding took place in a laboratory scale ball mill and 3 kg of each of 12
193 mm, 18 mm and 24 mm diameter grinding media was used to grind 3 kg of powder. Where intergrinding of all
194 constituents took place, grinding continued for one hour, two hour and four hour durations and where only the
195 activators (CEM I and CKD) were ground, grinding durations of one hour and two hours were used. Measurement
196 of particle size distribution of the blends was also carried out once grinding was complete. Also, the morphology of
197 the ground powders was assessed from scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images taken by a JOEL 6060LV
198 Scanning Electron Microscope.

199 Paste mixes were prepared for blends of both the unground and ground constituents using a Hobart style mixer.
200 All powder materials were initially dry mixed to ensure homogeneity (if not already premixed through the grinding
201 process). Water was subsequently added with a water to binder ratio of 0.3 used throughout the experimental
202 programme. A portion of the paste mixture was used to measure initial and final setting time in accordance with
203 BS EN 196-3. From each paste mix, eighteen 50 mm cubes were cast to measure compressive strength. The
204 specimens were compacted in three layers using a vibrating table, covered in plastic sheeting and left to set for 24
205 hours. Samples were then demoulded and nine of the samples were submerged under water maintained at 20°C.
206 The remaining nine samples were shrink-wrapped with plastic sheeting and kept in a moist curing container with
207 water beneath the mesh that the samples were placed on. These samples were kept at approximately 20°C and
208 90% relative humidity until required for testing. This curing regime was selected to ensure that no leaching occurred
209 due to the presence of CKD as an activator, which may be the case for submerged curing. 2 day, 7 day and 28 day
210 compressive strength was determined, taken as the average of three samples for each test age and curing regime.
211 Note that strengths for the pastes with unground particles were measured for wrapped curing only and these
212 results are shown in the relevant graphs to provide a frame of reference. Subsequent to compressive strength
213 testing, pieces of the crushed samples from selected mixes were retained for assessment of paste chemical
214 composition by XRF analysis.

215

216 **Results and discussion**

217 When presenting and discussing results, the following coding system is used:

218 UG = unground (i.e. original raw materials)

219 IG = interground (i.e. blending fly ash, cement and CKD in the relevant proportions and then grinding)

220 AG = activator grinding (i.e. blending cement and CKD in the relevant proportions, grinding and then mixing with
221 the unground fly ash)

222 0 / 1 / 2 / 4 = time of grinding in hours

223 FA1 / FA2 / FA3 / FA4 = fly ash sample based on information given in tables 1 and 2

224 W / S = wrapped / submerged curing regime

225 For example, IG-1-FA2 is referring to the powder blend or resulting paste mix where fly ash 2 was interground with
226 cement and CKD in the relevant proportions for 1 hour.

227 Fineness and particle shape

228 Table 3 shows the specific gravity and median particle size for all interground samples of fly ash, cement and CKD
229 and also for samples of cement and CKD that were ground in the relevant proportions before being blended with
230 the unground fly ash. The variation in specific gravity between interground samples containing different fly ashes
231 generally correlated with the specific gravity of the raw materials as expected (e.g. ash 2 had a significantly higher
232 specific gravity than the other ashes and interground samples containing this ash had higher specific gravity values).
233 For fly ash 1, 3 and 4, the specific gravity of interground blends tended to increase with prolonged grinding. This
234 can be attributed to crushing of low-density cenospheres exposing higher density wall material and plerospheres
235 liberating small dense particles. However, for fly ash 2, a slight reduction in the interground blend specific gravity
236 was observed for increasing grinding time, which suggests fly ash 2 contains denser, solid particles that are resistant
237 to fragmentation and contains few cenospheres and plerospheres.

238 When evaluating the effect of grinding duration on median particle size values from Table 3, particle size generally
239 appeared to decrease after one hour of grinding. From the grinding efficiency diagrams (Figure 2), the minimum
240 particle size was achieved after one hour for ash 1, 3 and 4 and after two hours for ash 2. Further grinding was not
241 effective due to agglomeration of the particles or overgrinding of the softer material with the harder material.
242 These two effects meant that particle size did not reduce further with increased grinding time. To investigate this
243 further, sample particle size distribution plots for interground blends made with ash 1 and ash 2 were produced
244 and plots for the corresponding unground blends were included (Figure 3). The unground blend was coarsest
245 throughout the range and the largest reduction in particle size occurs near the coarse end of the range in both
246 cases. As can be seen for interground blends made with ash 1 and ash 2, D90 changed from 62.5 µm to 45.5 µm
247 and 37.7 µm respectively while D10 did not change significantly. This is largely attributed to crushing of hollow
248 cenospheres within the fly ash. Felekoglu et al [8] emphasize that small particles within raw fly ash are naturally
249 more reactive due to their rapid rate of cooling during processing and that reduction in coarse particle size should
250 be prioritized. Looking specifically at the coarser end of the range, the fineness of the ground blend achieved was
251 virtually identical for all three grinding durations, which suggests that grinding beyond one hour is largely
252 ineffective.

253 Figure 4 shows particle size distribution plots when grinding the activators only (i.e. 75% CEM I + 25% CKD) and
254 again includes the corresponding unground blend. Grinding caused a reduction in particle size throughout the
255 range. D90 reduced from 48.9 µm to 41.7 µm and median particle size changed from 20.5 µm to 13.0 µm while D10
256 had a reduction from 4.7 µm to 2.0 µm. These are all large reductions considering the percentage size reductions.
257 Therefore, reduction in activator particle size has contributed to the observed reduction in the interground blends.
258 Again, there appeared to be little benefit to grinding beyond one hour for activator only grinding.

Figure 5 compares particle size distribution plots for unground blends with one hour interground blends and one hour activator ground blends (after being blended with unground fly ash). For both ash 1 and ash 2, only a slight reduction in particle size was observed for activator only grinding at the coarse end of the particle size range caused by a reduction in particle size for CEM I and more particularly, the coarse CKD particles. As expected, intergrinding caused a more significant reduction in particle size at the coarse end of the range as the fly ash (which constitutes 60% of the material by mass) was included in the grinding process. However, when considering the finer end of the particle range for blends with both ashes presented, grinding the activator only produced a slightly finer overall blend. This is largely attributed to grinding of CKD particles, which was more effective when the CKD constitutes 25% of the material being ground when only the activators were ground.

Figure 6 shows SEM images of the individual raw materials. Visual comparison of the different types of fly ash shows that ash 1 and ash 3 are more porous with more coarse particles than ash 2 and ash 4 (which correlates with observations from PSD curves). It is apparent that all fly ash samples contain spherical particles whereas CEM I has irregular shaped particles and CKD particles have a spongy appearance. Figure 7 shows SEM images for interground blends made with ash 1 and ash 2 for varying time periods. For both ashes shown, the porosity of the blend after two hours and four hours of grinding appears to be lower than for one hour of grinding. However, the most uniform and dense blend seems to result after two hours of grinding. Having said that, some relatively large spherical fly ash particles are still apparent from the images, even after four hours of grinding. Figure 8 shows activator only ground powders prior to addition of the unground fly ash. By comparing these images to those for the raw CEM I and CKD, it is apparent that the porosity of the activator reduces with increased grinding time. This generally correlates with observations from the relevant PSD curve, Figure 4.

Setting times

Figure 9a shows initial and final setting times for the pastes with unground constituents and corresponding pastes with interground constituents. For all four fly ashes, initial and final setting times were consistently longer for increasing grinding times. Similar trends were observed for pastes with ground activators and unground fly ash (Figure 9b) although shorter setting times than for equivalent interground pastes were generally observed. The shortest setting times were generally recorded for pastes with the finest particles after one hour of intergrinding or activator only grinding. These observations agree with the findings from Kiattikomol et al [5] and Ryou [15] where setting times reduced with increasing particle fineness. The current investigation used a water to binder ratio of 0.3 based on consistence of pastes with unground constituents from previous work [1]. However, Kiattikomol et al [5] used water binder ratios of 0.67 – 0.73 and Ryou [15] used a water binder ratio of 0.5. Felekoglu et al [8] found that increasing fineness of fly ash increases water demand caused by the increase in specific surface of ash particles. It is acknowledged that the shape of the particles also affects the water demand. Grinding produces fine angular and fragmented particles which tends to increase water demand but Chindaprasirt et al. [3] found that the use of fine fly ash reduces the water requirement of the mortar mix if the fine fly ash surface is smooth. In this study the water to binder ratio of 0.3 showed enough water for having a fluid mix based on the observation. However, where

295 grinding is being used to increase reactivity of binder constituents, the increased fineness of the constituent
296 particles should be taken into account when selecting an appropriate water to binder ratio.

297

298 Compressive strength of pastes

299 Figure 10 compares 2 day, 7 day and 28 day compressive strengths of the pastes with unground constituents to the
300 corresponding strengths for interground blends ground for varying time periods. Figure 10a shows results for
301 wrapped cured interground pastes and Figure 10b show results for submerged cured pastes. The submerged cured
302 samples were taken out of the curing tank 24 hours before crushing so that the specimen condition was similar to
303 the wrapped cured samples for strength measurement. The strengths for unground pastes were measured for
304 wrapped curing as a frame of reference and the highest strength for the unground pastes at different ages was for
305 ash 2, which was the ash with the smallest median particle size and highest density. When examining wrapped
306 curing results, grinding appeared to have a negligible or a negative effect on 2 day strengths. Apart from ash 2,
307 samples after one hour and two hours of intergrinding achieved similar strengths to the unground sample. Over
308 time, the main increases in strength were typically observed between 7 and 28 days. Longer term strengths
309 generally appear to increase up to two hours of intergrinding but a decrease in strength is observed for continued
310 grinding up to four hours. This agrees with grinding efficiency diagrams (Figure 2) which show the minimum median
311 particle size resulted for around two hours of grinding. Observed strengths generally correlated well with the
312 fineness of interground blends. For submerged curing, increasing grinding time generally appeared to increase 2
313 day strength. However, as with wrapped cured samples, two hours of intergrinding appeared to be the optimum
314 duration to maximize 7 day and 28 day strength.

315 Figure 11 compares 2 day, 7 day and 28 day compressive strengths of the pastes with unground constituents to the
316 corresponding strengths of pastes with ground activators and unground fly ash. When examining 2 day strength
317 results for wrapped cured pastes (Figure 11a), grinding of the activators appeared to have mixed effects. For two
318 hours of activator grinding, increases in 2 day strength (relative to the corresponding control paste) were observed
319 for ash 1, ash 3 and ash 4. The median size of the ground activators was lower than these ashes (Tables 2 and 3),
320 which makes the activators more reactive to increases the rate of dissolution of fly ash at early age. However, as
321 with the corresponding interground samples, a reduction in 2 day strength relative to the control was observed for
322 the ash 2 paste due to grinding of constituents as the median size for ash 2 was lower than the ground activators.
323 The effect of activator grinding on 7 day strengths was relatively low but increased activator grinding time generally
324 tended to increase 28 day strengths. However, for a given grinding duration, interground samples (that were
325 wrapped cured) generally achieved higher 28 day strengths than activator only ground samples. This is in line with
326 observations from Bouzoubaa et al [12] and Ghiasvand et al [13]. For the corresponding submerged cured samples
327 (Figure 11b), observations in relation to 2 day strengths were similar to those for the wrapped cured samples.
328 However, longer term strengths are more sporadic and no clear trend is evident. For submerged curing, the
329 beneficial effect of intergrinding (as opposed to activator only grinding) on 28 day strength was not as evident as it
330 was for wrapped curing.

331 When comparing strength results from the different ash sources, the 2 day strength of the control paste made with
332 ash 2 is appreciably higher than for the remaining ashes (16.36N/mm^2 compare to others which were 9.57 to
333 11.11N/mm^2) (Figure 10). This links to the observations made above that grinding of constituent materials appears
334 to reduce the 2 day strength of samples made with ash 2. With the exception of the coarse end of the range for ash
335 4, ash 2 is notably finer than the other ashes throughout the particle range, Figure 1, which goes some way towards
336 explaining those observations. As can be seen in Figure 12, there is little variation in 7 day strengths for the control
337 pastes but the 28 day strength of the paste made with ash 4 is notably lower than for the other ashes.

338 As stated above, submerged curing led to higher 2 day strengths for interground samples but the curing regime had
339 minimal effect on 2 day strengths for activator only ground samples. However, wrapped curing generally appeared
340 to be more effective than submerged curing at increasing 28 day compressive strength for all pastes. This is
341 apparent from Figure 13 which shows correlations between compressive strength and median particle size for
342 wrapped cured and submerged cured samples. Compressive strength tended to decrease at all ages with increasing
343 median particle size as would be expected. However, 28 day strengths of submerged cured samples did not follow
344 this trend. Kunal et al [17] emphasise that many phases in CKD are unstable or highly soluble and may dissolve
345 completely upon contact with water. Therefore, leaching of CKD may have occurred for submerged cured samples
346 over the 28 day curing period and hindered compressive strength gain.

347

348 Chemical analysis of cementitious products

349 XRF analysis was carried out on crushed paste samples from 28 day testing for selected mixes and results are shown
350 in Table 4. In this investigation, CKD was used as an activator and the water-soluble alkalis ($\text{Na}_2\text{O}+\text{K}_2\text{O}$) that are
351 responsible for the activation of fly ash are shown in Table 4. Comparing this information with the initial proportion
352 of alkalis content in the mixes (can be calculated by multiplying the oxide content of individual raw materials (Table
353 1) by the proportion of the raw materials in a given mix (i.e. 60% fly ash, 30% CEM I and 10% CKD) and then
354 calculating the total. The result shows that 70% to 86% of alkalis in CKD contributed to the activation of fly ash.

355 Overall, the variation in oxide composition between control pastes and the corresponding pastes that include
356 ground constituents is relatively low. Therefore, any observed changes in paste behaviour due to grinding are
357 primarily attributable to physical changes in particle size and shape. An indication of the level of reactivity can be
358 established by comparing the proportion of calcium content observed in the paste samples (Table 4) to the total
359 proportion of calcium content in the original mixes. The initial proportion of calcium content in the mixes can be
360 calculated using the same process as for the alkalis content. The percentage of reacted calcium content was
361 calculated for selected mixes and is presented at the bottom of Table 4. When comparing interground with activator
362 only ground pastes for ash 1 and ash 2, activator only ground pastes showed higher proportions of reacted calcium
363 content. It should be mentioned that the reacted fly ash in different formulation gives rise to the calcium silicate
364 hydrate and alkali calcium silicate hydrate gels in different cases.

365

366 **Conclusions**

367 Within the current investigation, the following conclusions can be reached:

368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385

386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408

1. When examining PSD curves of ground constituents, grinding beyond one hour is not effective at reducing particle size.
2. The shortest setting time for interground blends pastes seems to occur for the finest blend but the setting time for equivalent activator only ground pastes are generally shorter.
3. When examining compressive strength values, two hours of grinding appears to be the optimum grinding duration which suggests that mechanical activation induces further strength enhancements beyond one hour of grinding.
4. Grinding of constituents appeared to be largely ineffective at increasing 2 day compressive strength but generally enhanced 28 day compressive strength.
5. 2 day strengths of activator only ground pastes were slightly better than for equivalent interground pastes, particularly when the median particle size of the ground activators was less than that for the unground fly ash.
6. Paste samples that were made from interground constituents generally achieved 18% to 40% higher 28 day strengths than corresponding pastes where only the activators were ground, and this trend was more apparent for the wrapped curing regime.
7. Submerged curing is generally less effective in increasing compressive strength than wrapped curing as leaching of CKD is suspected to have occurred.

Table 1: Relative oxide contents and loss on ignition (LOI) of raw materials

Oxides	Fly ash 1	Fly ash 2	Fly ash 3	Fly ash 4	CEM I	CKD
SiO ₂	52.15	51.16	56.62	58.70	19.63	15.46
TiO ₂	0.87	1.01	0.93	1.030	0.26	0.23
Al ₂ O ₃	19.61	24.34	22.21	27.96	4.71	3.80
Fe ₂ O ₃	7.10	10.17	5.96	4.28	3.25	2.55
MnO	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.09	0.08
MgO	2.00	1.46	1.79	1.23	1.17	0.97
CaO	4.40	2.79	5.69	2.24	64.09	54.18
Na ₂ O	1.06	1.28	0.83	0.71	0.27	0.56
K ₂ O	1.93	2.57	1.95	2.13	0.73	4.90
P ₂ O ₅	0.45	0.35	0.38	0.33	0.20	0.15
SO ₃	0.54	0.26	0.61	0.29	2.94	3.84
LOI	9.48	4.35	2.98	1.00	3.22	13.25
Total	99.66	99.79	100.00	99.94	100.56	99.97

Table 2: Physical properties of raw materials

Material	Density (g/cm ³)	Fineness (cm ² /g)	Retained on 45 µm sieve (%)	Median particle size (µm)
Fly ash 1	2.37	3987	15.6	20.1
Fly ash 2	2.72	3657	12.6	12.5
Fly ash 3	2.37	4110	15.3	19.4
Fly ash 4	2.33	3741	2.8	15.9
CEM I	3.21	3495	4.8	19.3
CKD	2.77	2454	20.5	26.7

428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439

* prior to adding unground fly ash (i.e. 75% CEM I + 25% CKD only)

Sample type	Specific gravity	Median particle size (μm)
IG-0-FA1	2.66	20.5
IG-1-FA1	2.66	15.7
IG-2-FA1	2.63	17.7
IG-4-FA1	2.70	18.9
IG-0-FA2	2.88	15.9
IG-1-FA2	2.74	12.8
IG-2-FA2	2.73	12.3
IG-4-FA2	2.71	14.1
IG-0-FA3	2.67	20.1
IG-1-FA3	2.66	15.1
IG-2-FA3	2.63	17.0
IG-4-FA3	2.71	18.2
IG-0-FA4	2.64	18.0
IG-1-FA4	2.62	13.9
IG-2-FA4	2.60	14.7
IG-4-FA4	2.67	16.1
AG-1*	3.06	14.7
AG-2*	3.08	13.7

440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454

Table 4: Relative oxide content, loss on ignition (LOI) and percentage of reacted fly ash in cementitious products

Oxides	UG-0- FA1	IG-2- FA1	AG-2- FA1	UG-0- FA2	IG-2- FA2	AG-2- FA2	UG-0- FA3	AG-2- FA3	UG-0- FA4	AG-2- FA4
SiO ₂	35.34	40.91	36.43	34.30	35.51	35.41	38.59	38.67	39.30	39.31
TiO ₂	0.59	0.56	0.59	0.65	0.67	0.68	0.66	0.65	0.70	0.71
Al ₂ O ₃	12.22	11.73	12.47	14.43	15.02	15.19	14.09	14.04	17.06	17.06
Fe ₂ O ₃	4.62	4.41	4.61	6.09	6.62	6.31	4.29	4.30	3.33	3.32
MnO	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.03
MgO	1.49	1.39	1.46	1.19	1.24	1.19	1.40	1.39	1.09	1.07
CaO	26.36	22.55	24.48	26.55	23.97	24.56	25.37	25.46	24.18	23.84
Na ₂ O	0.61	0.63	0.61	0.74	0.75	0.78	0.56	0.54	0.48	0.47
K ₂ O	1.28	1.56	1.24	1.78	1.80	1.88	1.61	1.63	1.74	1.71
P ₂ O ₅	0.28	0.27	0.28	0.22	0.25	0.23	0.25	0.25	0.22	0.22
SO ₃	2.17	1.98	1.68	2.64	1.81	2.07	1.71	1.94	1.68	1.66
LOI	14.61	14.02	16.16	11.36	12.40	11.84	11.31	11.08	10.08	10.46
Total	99.61	100.05	100.05	99.99	100.10	100.18	99.88	99.99	99.89	99.86
Reacted	96.60	82.63	89.70	100.87	91.07	93.31	90.41	90.73	93.04	91.73




Figure 1: Particle size distribution plots for raw binder materials





Figure 2: Grinding efficiency diagram (a) interground blends (b) activator ground blends (c) activator ground alone


462

463



464

465



466

467

468 Figure 3: Particle size distribution plots for interground blends made with (a) fly ash 1 and (b) fly ash 2 ground for
469 varying time periods

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478






Figure 4: Particle size distribution plots for activator ground blend (i.e. 75% CEM I + 25% CKD) for varying time periods




(a)



(b)


Figure 5: Particle size distribution plots comparing unground blends with one hour interground blends and activator ground blends (after unground fly ash has been added) made with (a) fly ash 1 and (b) fly ash 2



523

524


(a) FA1



525

526


(b) FA2



527

528

(c) FA3




529


530

(d) FA4

531




(e) CEM I



(f) CKD


Figure 6: SEM images of raw materials



539

540

(a) IG-1-FA1




541

542

(b) IG-2-FA1


543



544

545


(c) IG-4-FA1




546

547

(d) IG-1-FA2




(e) IG-2-FA2



(f) IG-4-FA2

Figure 7: SEM images of selected blends - interground blends made with fly ash 1 and fly ash 2 ground for varying time periods


555



556

557

(a) AG-1



558

559


(b) AG-2

560


Figure 8: SEM images of activator ground blend (i.e. 75% CEM I + 25% CKD) for varying time periods

561


562




(a)



(b)




(a)




(b)

Figure 10: Compressive strength of paste samples interground for varying time periods for (a) wrapped curing and (b) submerged curing




(a)




(b)

Figure 11: Compressive strength of paste samples with activators only ground for varying time periods for (a) wrapped curing and (b) submerged curing




597

598 Figure 12: Compressive strength of control paste samples for varying median particle size of different ashes



599



600

601 Figure 13: Compressive strength of paste samples for varying median particle size of blend for (a) wrapped curing
602 and (b) submerged curing

603

604 **Acknowledgement**

605 This work was supported by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) under Grant
606 [EP/J016055/1]. The authors gratefully acknowledge the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council for
607 funding.

608

609 **References**

610 [1] Bondar D. and Coakley E. (2014) 'Use of Gypsum and CKD to enhance early age strength of High Volume Fly Ash
611 (HVFA) pastes'. Construction and Building Materials, 71, 93-108.

612

613 [2] Erdogdu K. and Turker P. (1998) 'Effects of fly ash particle size on strength of Portland cement fly ash mortars'.
614 Cement and Concrete Research, 28(9), 1217-1222.

615

616 [3] Chindaprasirt P., Homwuttiwong S. and Sirivivatnanon V. (2004) 'Influence of fly ash fineness on strength, drying
617 shrinkage and sulfate resistance of blended cement mortar'. Cement and Concrete Research, 34, 1087-1092.

618

619 [4] Chindaprasirt P., Jaturapitakkul C. and Sinsiri T. (2005) 'Effect of fly ash fineness on compressive strength and
620 pore size of blended cement paste'. Cement and Concrete Composites, 27, 425-428.

621

622 [5] Kiattikomol K., Jaturapitakkul C., Songpiriyakij S. and Chutubtim S. (2001) 'A study of ground coarse fly ashes
623 with different finenesses from various sources as pozzolanic materials'. Cement and Concrete Composites, 23, 335-
624 343.

625

626 [6] Aydin S., Karatay C. and Baradan B. (2010) 'The effect of grinding process on mechanical properties and alkali-
627 silica reaction resistance of fly ash incorporated cement mortars'. Powder Technology, 197, 68-72.

628

629 [7] Paya J., Monzo J., Borrachero M.V. and Peris-Mora E. (1995) 'Mechanical treatment of fly ashes Part I: Physico-
630 chemical characterization of ground fly ashes'. Cement and Concrete Research, 25(7), 1469-1479.

631

632 [8] Felekoglo B., Turkel S. and Kalyoncu H. (2009) 'Optimization of fineness to maximize the strength activity of high-
633 calcium ground fly ash-Portland cement composites'. Construction and Building Materials, 23, 2053-2061.

634

635 [9] Paya J., Monzo J., Borrachero M.V., Peris-Mora E. and Amahjour F. (2000) 'Mechanical treatment of fly ashes
636 Part IV: Strength development of ground fly ash-cement mortars cured at different temperatures'. Cement and
637 Concrete Research, 30, 543-551.

638

639 [10] Bouzoubaa N., Zhang M.H., Bilodeau A. and Malhotra V.M. (1997) 'The effect of grinding on the physical
640 properties of fly ashes and a Portland cement clinker'. Cement and Concrete Research, 27(12), 1861-1874.
641

642 [11] Wang K., Mishulovich A. and Shah S.P. (2007) 'Activations and properties of cementitious materials made with
643 cement-kiln dust and class-F fly ash'. Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering (ASCE), 19, 112-119.
644

645 [12] Bouzoubaa N., Zhang M.H., Bilodeau A. and Malhotra V.M. (1998) 'Laboratory-produced high-volume fly ash
646 blended cements: Physical properties and compressive strength of mortars'. Cement and Concrete Research,
647 28(11), 1555-1569.
648

649 [13] Ghiasvand E., Ramezani pour A.A. and Ramezani pour A.M. (2014) 'Effect of grinding method and particle
650 size distribution on the properties of Portland-pozzolan cement'. Construction and Building Materials, 53, 547-554.
651

652 [14] Erdogdu K., Tokyoy M. and Turker P. (1999) 'Comparison of intergrinding and separate grinding for the
653 production of natural pozzolan and GBFS-incorporated blended cements'. Cement and Concrete Research, 29,
654 743-746.
655

656 [15] Ryou J. (2004) 'Improvement on reactivity of cementitious waste materials by mechanochemical activation'.
657 Materials Letters, 58, 903-906.
658

659 [16] Bentz D.P., Hansen A.S. and Guynn J.M. (2011) 'Optimization of cement and fly ash particle sizes to produce
660 sustainable concretes'. Cement and Concrete Composites, 33, 824-831.
661

662 [17] Kunal, Siddique R. and Rajor A. (2012) 'Use of cement kiln dust in cement concrete and its leachate
663 characteristics'. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 61, 59-68.
664